

I'm no expert on the topic (I anxiously await Randy Barnett's comments), but does anyone else think the opinion in [Gonzales v. Oregon](#) issued today (limiting the application of the Controlled Substances Act and upholding Oregon's assisted suicide law) could have been a masterful dissent in [Gonzales v. Raich](#) (reading the Controlled Substances Act to preclude the legal use of medical marijuana). I'm getting my information from [SCOTUSblog](#) (as good as the Court itself, and edited, too!), so keep that in mind, but just read these sentences from SCOTUSblog describing the case:

[Read the full piece here.](#)